

EDITORIAL

Research from low-income and middle-income countries will benefit global health and the physiotherapy profession, but it requires support

Disparities in research publications are common in the physiotherapy and rehabilitation fields.¹ A small proportion of published research arises from low-income and middle-income countries (LMICs),^{1,2} home to 85% of the world's population. Systems-level, institutional-level, and individual-level factors contribute to these disparities. With urgent and unified actions, global health and the standard of physiotherapy research in LMICs can be improved and strengthened. In this editorial, we will discuss the challenges encountered by researchers from LMICs in conducting and publishing high-quality research and propose potential strategies to address these challenges.

Background

LMICs are defined as countries with a cumulative annual gross national income per capita of US\$ 13,205 or less (Table 1).³ Many LMICs have a higher prevalence of injuries and long-term conditions requiring rehabilitation services compared to high-income countries.^{4,5} Despite the greater disability burden in LMICs, the quality and quantity of research conducted in these countries is underwhelming. For example, despite being ranked as the number one cause of disability, low back pain lacks primary data from many LMICs.^{2,6}

LMICs have different research priorities than high-income countries. Compared to high-income countries, LMICs often have underdeveloped and resource-limited health systems and different disease burdens, with research only starting to catch up. Because of between-country/culture differences in illness beliefs and coping strategies, research from high-income countries,⁷ where most evidence is generated, may not apply in LMICs. In other words, interventions developed using resources and clinical populations in high-income countries may not be culturally appropriate or feasible in LMICs. For example, education, a commonly recommended

intervention for chronic health conditions, needs to consider patient's socioeconomic and cultural factors.⁸ This warrants significant cultural adaptation and testing of healthcare interventions when used in different health settings/systems. Given that there is limited local research on many clinical conditions, clinicians in LMICs are left to rely on research from high-income countries for patient care. Although the need for high-quality research to address local research gaps has been frequently highlighted, research publications from the LMICs remain limited.⁹

Barriers related to conducting high-quality research in LMICs

While LMICs may share some common barriers to conducting and publishing research with high-income countries, some challenges are unique to LMIC contexts.¹⁰ Many LMICs do not prioritise research, which results in a lack of research funding, no research culture, limited awareness of research, and no research workforce.¹⁰ LMICs rarely include research funding in their national budget. Awareness about research is lacking at all levels and therefore health professionals, academic staff, and the general public have little to no understanding of research. School and university curricula also lack a research focus.

Academic institutions do not offer adequate research support to their staff and students, who lack research training, ongoing mentorship, research resources and infrastructure (including but not limited to access to online databases), reliable internet access, secured computers, and statistical software. Unfair expectations are imposed on academic staff to generate research productivity without dedicated research hours and administrative support (e.g., research assistants). For example, publishing two or more original research papers is commonly expected in three

Table 1 Categorisation of low-, middle- and high-income countries based on the World Bank.

Income bracket	2021 gross national income per capita (USD)	Countries (n)	Example countries	Pooled population
Low	≤ \$1085	28	Afghanistan, Ethiopia, Malawi, Liberia, Somalia, Sudan, Syria, Uganda, Yemen	0.7 billion
Lower-middle	\$1086 to \$4255	54	Bangladesh, Bolivia, Egypt, Haiti, India, Indonesia, Kenya, Nepal, Philippines, Tajikistan, Vietnam	3.3 billion
Upper-middle	\$4256 to \$13,205	54	Albania, Botswana, Brazil, China, Colombia, Jamaica, Jordan, Kazakhstan, Libya, Malaysia, Mexico, South Africa	2.5 billion
High	> \$13,205*	80	Aruba, Australia, Belgium, Canada, Chile, France, Israel, Japan, Latvia, Malta, Poland, Qatar, Singapore, UK, USA	1.2 billion

* Gross national income of high-income countries ranges from US\$ 13,260 for Seychelles to US\$ 116,540 for Bermuda (UK) reflecting a wide range of countries included within this category.

years for academic promotion. In a survey of researchers from 27 African countries, lack of dedicated research-related roles was the most common barrier identified, reported by 48% of the respondents.¹⁰

Barriers/threats to publishing research from LMICs

Barriers to publishing research are linked with the barriers to conducting research described above. One widely known barrier is language. Writing academic papers can be daunting, especially when writing in a non-native language. Researchers in LMICs who do not speak English as their first language find it especially challenging to write journal articles in English. They also lack local support to improve their writing skills.

The second barrier is related to, as alluded to earlier, the differences in research priorities in LMICs and high-income countries. Many international journals lack geographic diversity in their editorial boards. As a result, the manuscript handling editors (and reviewers) lack adequate understanding of the local research contexts and the need for studies in LMICs when looking from their own lenses of research priorities in high-income countries. Finding reviewers who understand local research contexts is also challenging, further complicating the editorial decision.

Third, researchers in LMICs are frequently the targets of predatory publishers. As a consequence, researchers from LMICs publish their work in ‘predatory’ journals.¹¹ The researchers see predatory journals as “low-hanging fruit”, despite costs associated with publication, because the publishing requirements are easier to meet while the publication process is often swift, in contrast to (international) peer-reviewed reputable journals.

Lastly, some editors/reviewers of international peer-reviewed journals deem research from LMICs to have local relevance and impact only, and therefore flag them as more appropriate for local journals. The major problem with this is that most local journals are not indexed and are therefore

often undiscoverable through traditional databases (e.g., PubMed). Publishing in these non-indexed local journals contribute to duplicate research and therefore research waste.^{1,12} For example, 75% of research on clinical pain in Nepal was published in local journals with duplicate and redundant research.¹ The international research community should facilitate research from LMICs so that this research can make both local and international impacts.

A call to action

Urgent actions can help address key barriers to conducting and publishing research in LMICs. The potential solutions to each of the barrier are presented in [Table 2](#).

What can researchers from LMICs do?

Early career researchers may initiate collaborations with experienced local and international researchers with shared interests. Experienced researchers may extend mentorship opportunities to junior researchers both locally and internationally, facilitate inclusion on a journal editorial board, and volunteer to review papers.

What can international journals do?

International physiotherapy journals are in a strong position to support and promote physiotherapy research in LMICs, especially through the leadership of the *International Society of Physiotherapy Journal Editors*.

First, journals should consider equity, diversity, and inclusion within the editorial board—not only in terms of sex and race but also diversity based on national economies. Editors who understand local research contexts are better able to make informed editorial decisions. Where possible within the scope of the journal, editorial decisions should be made considering research priorities from the originating country and the impact of the research there. This will assist with providing strong research foundations for LMICs.

Table 2 Barriers to research in low-income and middle-income countries (LMICs) and proposed solutions.

Type of barrier	Barriers	Proposed solutions
Barriers to conducting research	Lack of research priority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Government and academic institutions should prioritise research and develop a research priority agenda for common health conditions. • Funding should be allocated towards addressing these research priorities.
	Research awareness and education	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Research should be introduced early in school and during undergraduate education. • The importance of research should be shared with the public. • Academic institutions and clinical settings (e.g., hospitals) should emphasise the importance of both conducting and using research for improving healthcare.
	Research funding	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Government and universities should allocate a defined proportion of their budgets for research. • Research scholarships should be awarded for postgraduate degree and postdoctoral fellowships.
	Lack of institutional support	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Universities should support researchers. Full-time and part-time research roles should be created so that researchers can commit their time and focus on conducting high-quality research. • Academic staff should be allocated research hours to allow dedicated research time. • Institutions should also favourably appraise high-quality research over any research.
	Lack of research workforce	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • As above, both government and universities should support full-time and part-time research roles to develop research workforce and high-quality research skills.
	Lack of research training	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Government and universities should promote high-quality research training. They should also promote local and international research mentoring as well as collaborations across fields.
Barriers to publishing research	High editorial bar for international journals	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Journals could offer special editorial support to authors from LMICs. • International collaborations or mentorship could help with the publication process. • Appointing editors and reviewers who understand local research priorities and contexts and who are better able to make informed judgement about the need for the research and its potential impact.
	Language barrier	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proofreading of the final manuscripts by native English-speaking collaborators and journal editorial board members could address language barriers related to publishing in an international journal. • AuthorAID (https://www.authoraid.info/en/) connects researchers from LMICs to the international community. Mentors and mentees can connect through the AuthorAID program.
	Predatory publishing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Frequent webinars around publishing in the right journals should be offered. • Government, funding bodies (if present), and institutions should emphasise publishing in credible journals. • Authors should think critically about the credibility of the journals they submit to, drawing on resources like Think, Check, and Submit (http://thinkchecksubmit.org).

Second, editors should also prioritise recruitment of reviewers with research experience in LMICs, preferably from the same countries where the research was conducted. Editors may also request specific feedback regarding whether the study methods are appropriate for the local context.

Third, journals may provide additional support to authors from LMICs. This may include providing additional assistance in editing, proofreading, or responding to peer reviewers. Journal websites may provide specific guidance to authors with limited publishing experience, such as links to resources for conducting and writing research. Journals may also offer current and prospective authors Massive Open Online Courses (MOOC) on research and academic writing, with the content targeted at authors from LMICs. Alternatively, paid workshops might be offered with a waiver for participants from LMICs.

A final strategy to consider is that publishers/journals may guide authors who need mentorship to a list of volunteer mentors. The journal manuscript submission platform could include a button to click if the author is looking for a mentor or is willing to mentor. The extent of mentorship could vary from proofreading the current paper, to analysing data, to assisting in writing a paper, to mentoring the development of a research question. The latter can especially be valuable for many, as journal editors frequently encounter research with poorly developed research questions and flawed research methods. With these problems, even the most supportive editors cannot help as it is too late to help. One initiative to support early career researchers is available through AuthorAID (<https://www.authoraid.info/en/>). Authors may choose to be listed as a mentor or a mentee and provide or receive mentorship at various stages of research including planning, analysis, writing, editing, and

proofreading. Strategies to promote high-quality research in LMICs are summarised in Figure 1.

‘Bright spot’

Despite several significant challenges, research with wide-scale implications has started to arise from LMICs which addresses local health research priorities.^{8,13-15} Some prominent journals in physiotherapy, pain, science, and medicine have already started to identify the importance of equity, diversity, and inclusion in health research which is likely to make a meaningful impact in promoting research from LMICs.¹⁶⁻²⁰ Selected publishers also waive publication fees for authors from LMICs to assist in open-access publishing.

Conclusion

Local research in LMICs is necessary to advance science and improve patient care in these settings. However, researchers in LMICs face several unique challenges to conduct and publish their research internationally. The *International Society of Physiotherapy Journal Editors* and member journals support research from the LMICs to improve the health and lives of the 85% of the world’s population that lives in LMICs.

Conflicts of interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgement

Dr Saurab Sharma is supported by the International Association for the Study of Pain John J. Bonica Postdoctoral Fellowship.

References

1. Sharma S, Jensen MP, Pathak A, Sharma S, Pokharel M, Abbott JH. State of clinical pain research in Nepal: a systematic scoping review. *Pain Rep.* 2019;4(6):e788.
2. Tamrakar M, Kharel P, Traeger A, Maher C, O’Keeffe M, Ferreira G. Completeness and quality of low back pain prevalence data in the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *BMJ Glob Health.* 2021;6(5).
3. The World Bank. World Bank Country and Lending Groups. 2022. <https://datahelpdesk.worldbank.org/knowledgebase/articles/906519-world-bank-country-and-lending-groups>. Accessed 21st October 2022.
4. Cieza A, Causey K, Kamenov K, Hanson SW, Chatterji S, Vos T. Global estimates of the need for rehabilitation based on the Global Burden of Disease study 2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Lancet.* 2021;396(10267):2006–2017.
5. Vos T, Lim SS, Abbafati C, et al. Global burden of 369 diseases and injuries in 204 countries and territories, 1990–2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Lancet.* 2020;396(10258):1204–1222.



Figure 1 Strategies to promote high-quality research in low and middle-income countries.

6. Sharma S, McAuley JH. Low back pain in low- and middle-income countries, part 1: the problem. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2022;52(5):233–235.
 7. Sharma S, Ferreira-Valente A, Williams ACDC, Abbott JH, Pais-Ribeiro J, Jensen MP. Group differences between countries and between languages in pain-related beliefs, coping, and catastrophizing in chronic pain: a systematic review. *Pain Med.* 2020;21(9):1847–1862.
 8. Sharma S, Jensen MP, Moseley GL, Abbott JH. Results of a feasibility randomised clinical trial on pain education for low back pain in Nepal: the Pain Education in Nepal-Low Back Pain (PEN-LBP) feasibility trial. *BMJ Open.* 2019;9(3): e026874.
 9. Buchbinder R, van Tulder M, Oberg B, et al. Low back pain: a call for action. *Lancet.* 2018;391(10137):2384–2388.
 10. Conradie A, Duys R, Forget P, Biccand BM. Barriers to clinical research in Africa: a quantitative and qualitative survey of clinical researchers in 27 African countries. *Br J Anaesth.* 2018;121(4):813–821.
 11. Shen C, Bjork BC. Predatory' open access: a longitudinal study of article volumes and market characteristics. *BMC Med.* 2015;13:230.
 12. Ioannidis JPA, Greenland S, Hlatky MA, et al. Increasing value and reducing waste in research design, conduct, and analysis. *Lancet.* 2014;383(9912):166–175.
 13. Shah N, Shrivastava M, Kumar S, Nagi RS. Supervised, individualised exercise reduces fatigue and improves strength and quality of life more than unsupervised home exercise in people with chronic Guillain-Barre syndrome: a randomised trial. *J Physiother.* 2022;68(2):123–129.
 14. Guimarães LdS, Costa LdCM, Araujo AC, et al. Photobiomodulation therapy is not better than placebo in patients with chronic nonspecific low back pain: a randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Pain.* 2021;162(6).
 15. Liang P, Liang M, Shi S, Liu Y, Xiong R. Rehabilitation programme including EMG-biofeedback- assisted pelvic floor muscle training for rectus diastasis after childbirth: a randomised controlled trial. *Physiotherapy.* 2022;117:16–21.
 16. Diversity, equity and inclusion: we are in it for the long run. *Nat Med.* 2021;27(11):1851.
 17. Editors, Rubin E. Striving for diversity in research studies. *N Engl J Med.* 2021;385(15):1429–1430.
 18. McCambridge AB, Elkins MR. If we can't see race and ethnicity in research, how will we see racial inequality? *J Physiother.* 2021;67(2):82–83.
 19. The Editors Of The Lancet G. The Lancet Group's commitments to gender equity and diversity. *Lancet.* 2019;394(10197):452–453.
 20. Palermo TM, Davis KD, Bouhassira D, et al. Promoting inclusion, diversity, and equity in pain science. *Pain.* 2023;164(2).
- Saurab Sharma^{a,*}, Arianne Verhagen^b, Mark Elkins^c, Jean-Michel Brismée^d, George D. Fulk^e, Jakub Taradaj^f, Lois Steen^g, Alan Jette^h, Ann Mooreⁱ, Aimee Stewart^j, Barbara J. Hoogenboom^k, Anne Söderlund^l, Michele Harms^m, Rafael Zambelli Pintoⁿ
- ^a School of Health Sciences, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia and Centre for Pain IMPACT, Neuroscience Research Australia, Sydney, Australia
- ^b International Society of Physiotherapy Journal Editors
- ^c Journal of Physiotherapy
- ^d Journal of Manual and Manipulative Therapy
- ^e Journal of Neurologic Physical Therapy
- ^f Physiotherapy Review
- ^g Fysioterapi (Swedish Physiotherapy Journal)
- ^h PTJ: Physical Therapy & Rehabilitation Journal
- ⁱ Musculoskeletal Science & Practice
- ^j South African Journal of Physiotherapy
- ^k International Journal of Sports Physical Therapy
- ^l European Journal of Physiotherapy
- ^m Physiotherapy
- ⁿ International Society of Physiotherapy Journal Editors and Brazilian Journal of Physical Therapy
- * Corresponding author at: School of Health Sciences, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9817-5372>.
E-mail: saurabsharma1@gmail.com (S. Sharma).
Received 14 July 2023; Accepted 23 July 2023
Available online 29 September 2023