

Brazilian Journal of Physical Therapy



https://www.journals.elsevier.com/brazilian-journal-of-physical-therapy

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Why do patients with low back pain seek care at emergency department? A cross-sectional study



Renan Kendy Ananias Oshima^{a,*}, Adriane Aver Vanin^a, Jéssica Pelegrino Nascimento^a, Greg Kawchuk^b, Leonardo Oliveira Pena Costa^a, Lucíola da Cunha Menezes Costa^a

^a Masters and Doctoral Programs in Physical Therapy, Universidade Cidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil ^b Department of Physical Therapy, Faculty of Rehabilitation Medicine, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Abbreviation:

Received 19 November 2021; received in revised form 2 September 2022; accepted 12 September 2022 Available online 22 September 2022

KEYWORDS Emergency department; Expectations; Low back pain; Reasons	Abstract Background: It is unclear why patients with low back pain seek care in emergency departments. Objectives: We aim to describe the demographic, physical, and psychological characteristics, and reasons for seeking care at emergency departments due to an episode of low back pain. Methods: This is a cross-sectional study conducted in an emergency department of a public hos- pital in São Paulo, Brazil, from September 2018 to May 2019. All patients who presented with a new episode of low back pain as the main complaint for seeking care at the emergency depart- ment on regular weekdays were invited to participate. We collected data on sociodemographic characteristics, general health characteristics, psychosocial risk factors, and reasons for visiting the emergency department. Results: A total of 200 patients participated. We observed that most patients (68%) were women, with a mean age of 55 years, and who had previous episodes of low back pain (86%). Most patients went to the emergency department because they were worried about their pain (78%) and because they could not control their pain (73%). Patients also choose the emergency department because it is always available, it is free, and provided them good care. Conclusions: Most patients with low back pain seek care at emergency departments because
	Conclusions: Most patients with low back pain seek care at emergency departments because they were worried about their pain and because the department is always open and does not require appointment. Understanding these reasons is an important step for the implementation of future public policies to make health care more efficient, to reduce unnecessary expenses and to avoid low-value care. © 2022 Associação Brasileira de Pesquisa e Pós-Graduação em Fisioterapia. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

* Corresponding author at: Masters and Doctoral Programs in Physical Therapy, Universidade Cidade de São Paulo, Rua Cesário Galeno, 448 - Tatuapé, CEP: 03071-000 São Paulo, SP, Brasil.

Background

E-mail: kendy.oshima@gmail.com (R.K. Oshima).

Emergency departments (EDs) aim to provide care to people with acute conditions requiring urgent hospital services.¹

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjpt.2022.100444

1413-3555/© 2022 Associação Brasileira de Pesquisa e Pós-Graduação em Fisioterapia. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

The demand for EDs continues to increase by 2-6% per year.²⁻⁶ This increase in demand leads to longer waiting times, overcrowding, higher costs, and lower quality of care.⁷⁻⁹ Unfortunately, about 30% of patients visiting EDs have non-urgent complaints and could be managed in primary care.^{7,10-17} Data from Canada^{18,19} and the $USA^{20,21}$ show that low back pain (LBP) is one of the main non-urgent conditions contributing to the overcrowding in EDs. Among the limited information in low-middle income countries. the profile of patients with LBP seeking treatment at EDs in Brazil suggest that these patients have high levels of pain intensity and disability.²³ Additionally, a cohort study conducted in Brazilian EDs concluded that higher levels of pain and disability are associated with poor outcomes in patients with acute LBP.^{24,25} A review estimated a LBP prevalence rate of 4.4% in EDs worldwide, similar to other urgent complaints, such as "shortness of breath (4%)" and "fever and chills (4.4%)".²⁶ Therefore, LBP is one of the main causes of visits to the ED.²⁶

In recent decades, LBP has become a major public health problem.²⁷ But, despite LBP being a large health burden, it has a favorable prognosis.^{28–30} LBP is one of the top reporting reasons for seeking care at EDs in the USA, with nearly four million admissions per year.³¹ Usually, when patients with LBP come to the ED they report relatively high pain intensity³² and only 5% present serious spinal pathologies and truly need urgent medical care.³³ A number of evidence-based guidelines and models of care have been developed to improve LBP care worldwide.^{21,22,27,33-37} Despite most guidelines prioritizing non-medical approaches for the management of patients with LBP, it is likely that these patients will receive some kind of medical interventions such as imaging, opioid prescription, or hospital admission; interventions that are not consistent with clinical guidelines recommendations.³⁴

The Brazilian health system proposes that the patient's first contact should take place in primary care. However, it is not uncommon for the ED to be the gateway to the public health system in Brazil.³⁸ Specifically for low back pain, it is important to know the demographic, physical, and psychological characteristics of, and the reasons for patients seeking care in the ED. These data provide important information for the implementation of strategies to improve care so as to decrease the burden on EDs and also for comparisons with future studies in different countries.

Methods

Study design

This is a cross-sectional study conducted in an ED of a public hospital in São Paulo, Brazil, from September 2018 to May 2019. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the *Hospital do Servidor Público Estadual* and *Universidade Cidade de São Paulo* (#7,585,517,030,015,463). This manuscript was reported following the STROBE guidelines.³⁹

Eligibility criteria

All patients who presented with a new episode of LBP as the main complaint for seeking care at the ED on business hours

during regular weekdays were screened for eligibility. We used the following acute LBP definition⁴⁰; "pain in the lower back, with or without referred pain to the lower limbs, lasting between 24 h and 6 weeks and preceded by a period of at least 1 month without pain".⁴⁰ Patients were considered eligible if they were between 18 and 80 years of age and seeking care for LBP. Patients who were pregnant or did not understand Portuguese were excluded.

Data collection

From September 2018 to May 2019, the Hospital do Servidor Público Estadual treated approximately 1.3 million patients within the state of São Paulo, Brazil. The hospital has 721 beds, 949 doctors, and 2020 health professionals. In this period, a total of 218,291 patients sought care at the ED. From those, a total of 5252 patients (3.5%) had a LBP episode. We recruited a convenience sample of 200 patients with LBP. This study was conducted using the baseline data from a prospective cohort investigating the implementation of a hybrid model for the care of patients with LBP. A member of the research team stayed in the ED from Monday through Friday during business hours. Patients were seen by the physician who conducted the medical consultation following the hospital standard of care and screened for red flags through history taking and physical examination. Shortly, after medical consultation, 206 potentially eligible patients were referred by the physician to the member of the research team who was on location for data collection. A total of six patients did not consent to participate. The physician determined whether or not these patients would be included, according to the eligibility criteria.

Outcome measures

If the participant agreed to participate in the study by signing the consent form, the researcher collected the data. Patients were interviewed through a structured, in-person verbal questionnaire. Questions were asked and answered under the supervision of the research team member.

Characteristics related to general health, psychological factors, and interferences of pain on work activities were assessed by using items one, six, eight, and 10 of the SF-36.⁴¹ We changed the term "bodily pain" to "low back pain" for the purpose of this study. Moreover, questions were adapted as follows: *How many days have your LBP forced you to cut down on the activities you usually do?* and *How many days have you been unable to work?* Information on medications prescribed by the physician in the ED was also collected. We also confirmed presence of absence of nerve root compromise through physical examination. Finally, we collected presence of red and yellow flags. Pain intensity, disability, and outcomes of interest are provided in Table 1.

Reasons for visiting the emergency department

To evaluate the reasons for visiting the ED we used a survey,⁴² which was composed of 13 independent questions that attempted to explore and understand the motives and reasons why patients with LBP visit the ED (Fig. 1).

The first question is related to why patients chose to visit the ED due to LBP. Patients could choose one or more of 7

Outcome	Variables
General Health	Physical activity levels, smoking, bodily pain, and general health percep- tions. Question for this outcome: "In general, would you say your health is excellent, very good, good, fair, or poor?" from the SF-36. ⁵⁰
Current and past history of low back pain	Previous episodes of LBP, previous surgeries due to LBP, if LBP appeared suddenly, referred pain to the lower limbs, medication use without medical prescription and duration of symptoms
Pain Intensity	Pain intensity was measured with the Numerical Pain Rating Scale (NPRS). The NPRS is an 11-point scale, ranging from 0 (no pain) to 10 (worst pain possible). Participants were asked to report the level of pain intensity per- ceived based on the last 7 days ^{68,69}
Disability	We measured disability with the Roland Morris Disability Questionnaire (RMDQ). The RMDQ has 24-items (yes/no) related to common activities that patients might have difficulty to perform due to low back pain. The total score is determined by the sum of all positive answers. The higher the score, the higher the disability. ^{68–70}
Psychological characteristics	Specific items selected from the SF-36 ⁵⁰ and from Örebro Musculoskeletal Pain Screening Questionnaire (ÖMPSQ). ⁷¹ Psychological interference on social activities and feeling about the symptoms of LBP: measured by a 5- point scale ranging between 1 and 5. Stress, anxiety, depression, and risk of LBP become persistent: measured by a 11-point scale ranging between 0 and 10 points.
Red flags	Red flags are features of the clinical history, which may be related to a high risk of serious conditions such as malignancy, fractures, and cauda equina syndrome. ⁷² We collected data related to the risk of fracture (eg. previous trauma, advanced age, osteoporosis), malignancy (eg, unexplained weight loss, history of cancer, and night pain), and cauda equina syndrome (eg. progressive motor deficit and anesthesia between the legs, sciatica, or loss of urine). ⁷³ The physician made a clinical diagnosis in the medical consultation. In addition, if the patient reported any sign or symptom of neurological impairment or serious pathology, the researcher collected information on red flags. ³⁵

Table 1 Outcomes of interest and variables related to each outcome.

pre-defined answer options. Additionally, for each option chosen, a qualitative complementary question was asked so that the answers could be analyzed and grouped later.

The other 12 questions focused on understanding why patients with LBP sought care in the ED. These questions also assessed about patients' beliefs, preferences, and expectations about care received in the ED.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive analyses were used to present the characteristics of the patients. Data are presented as mean and standard deviation for continuous variables and frequency and percentiles for categorical variables. All analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 22.

Results

Data were collected from 200 patients who were seeking emergency medical care. Table 2 shows the demographic and clinical characteristics of the participants. All patients who consented to participate in the study answered all questions. We observed that the majority of patients (68%) were women, with a mean age of 55 years, with previous episodes of LBP (86%), and had a sudden onset of symptoms (74.5%). Mean scores of pain intensity (measured by a 0–10 Numerical Pain Rating Scale) and disability (measured by the 0–24 Roland Morris Disability Questionnaire) were 7.9 and 14.7, respectively. In addition, about a third of the included patients (n = 64, 32%) were considered physically active.

For most patients (n = 160, 80%) either NSAIDs and/or muscle relaxant drugs (n = 140, 70%) were prescribed during consultation. Additionally, 63.5% of the patients were already using some medication before consultation.

The apprehension about the symptoms of LBP (i.e. "If you had to spend the rest of your life with the symptoms you have now, how would you feel about it?") and depression (i. e. "How much has it been bothering you that you've been feeling depressed for the past week?") were considered low with a mean score of 1.2 and 4.7, respectively. Conversely, the levels of stress/anxiety (6.8), psychological interference in social activities (2.7), and risk of persistent LBP (mean 6.0) were considered moderate to high. We observed that the most prevalent red flag was night pain, which was present in almost half of the sample (47%). The second moderate

Back Pain and the Emergency Department

1. Why did you choose to come to the Emergency Department for your back pain today? Check all that apply and answer the followup question.

- I was referred by another healthcare provider.
 What kind of health care person sent you here?
- I could not get an appointment elsewhere.
 Where did you try to go?
- I was worried about the cause of the pain.
 What do you think is causing your pain?
- I could not control the pain.
 What did you try to control your pain?
- The ambulance brought me here.
 Do you have your own transportation? Y / N
- The Emergency Department is close to me.
 How far away do you live? _____ km
- Other

2. Which of the following made a difference in choosing to come to the Emergency Department today? Please check all that apply.

- The Emergency Department is always open and doesn't require an appointment
- The Emergency Department is free
- The Emergency Department is close to me
- I think the best care for back pain here
- I wanted a second opinion
- Other_

3. How urgent is your visit today on a scale of 0 (not urgent) to 10 (this is an emergency)?

4. Are you expecting any of the following today? Please check all that apply.

- Pain medication
- Advice about what to do next for your back
- A referral to see someone else
- Blood tests
- Xrays, CT scan, or MRI of your back
- Being admitted to the hospital
- Other _

5. If all healthcare workers were open 24 hours and were free, who would you prefer to help you with the back pain you have right now? Check only one.

- Family doctor
- Chiropractor
- Physical Therapist
- Spine Surgeon
- Emergency Room doctor
- No preference
- Other

6. Where is your back pain located?

- Mostly in my back
- Mostly in my leg
- About the same amount in my back and leg

7. How severe is your back pain on a scale of 0 (no pain) to 10 (worst pain ever)?

8. How long have you had the back pain that brought you here today?

_____ Years _____ Months _____ Days

9. What do you think caused the back problem that brought you here?

10. Have you seen any other kind of healthcare professional for this same problem before coming here today (e.g. family doctor, chiropractor, physical therapist)? If so, please indicate below.

11. Have you been admitted to the hospital before for this same back pain problem?

Yes (when _____) / No

12. How able are you to complete your usual daily activities on a scale of 0 (I cannot do any daily activities) to 10 (I can do all my daily activities)?

13. How long have you missed work because of the back pain that brought you here today? _____ Years _____ Months ____ Days ____ N/A

Fig. 1 Back pain and the emergency department survey.

prevalent red flag was the presence of a history of osteoporosis (5%), followed by psychoactive substance abuse (3%). Other red flags were less prevalent (\leq 3%).

Table 3 presents the reasons why patients with LBP sought the ED instead of seeking other levels of health care. This table also presents data on patients' expectations and behavior towards LBP and emergency care. Most patients went to the ED because they were worried about their pain (n = 157, 78.5%) and because they could not control their pain (n = 147, 73.5%). The majority of patients (n = 143,

71.5%) chose to go to the ED because it is always open and does not need an appointment. Patients also chose the ED to treat their symptoms because it is free of charge (n = 95, 47.5%) and/or because they believe they can find the best treatment for their LBP at the ED (n = 129, 64.5%). Patients included in this study had a high level of perceived urgency (7.93 \pm 1.97).

Regarding patients' expectations, 159 (79.5%) patients expected to receive prescription of some pain medication. One hundred patients (50%) expected to undergo imaging Brazilian Journal of Physical Therapy 26 (2022) 100444

Table 2	Characteristics of the study pa	articipants (<i>n</i> = 200).
Age (yea	rs)	$\textbf{55.4} \pm \textbf{12.3}$
Sex		
Female	2	136 (68)
Weight (k	g)	$\textbf{78.3} \pm \textbf{18.5}$
Height (c	n)	$\textbf{165.5} \pm \textbf{9.7}$
Educatio	n level	
Elemer	ntary/Middle school	34 (17)
High sc	hool	66 (33)
Gradua	ite	85 (42.5)
Post-gr	aduate	15 (7.5)
Duration	of symptoms (weeks)	
Less th	an 2 weeks	114 (57)
Betwee	en 2 and 3 weeks	46 (23)
Betwee	en 3 and 4 weeks	13 (6.5)
Botwoo	and 5 wooks	5 (2 5)

Between 4 and 5 weeks	5 (2.5)
Between 5 and 6 weeks	22 (11)
Previous episodes of back pain	172 (86)
Sudden onset	149 (74.5)
Smoker	18 (9)
Previous compensation	12 (6)
Previous sick leave	45 (22.5)
Previous surgery	5 (2.5)
Exercising regularly	64 (32)
Previous medication intake	127 (63.5)
Pain intensity (0–10)	$\textbf{7.9} \pm \textbf{1.8}$
Disability (0–24)*	14.7 ± 5.3
Prescribed Medications	
NSAIDs**	160 (80)
Paracetamol	17 (8.5)
Opioids	49 (24.5)
Muscle Relaxants	140 (70)
Analgesics	15 (7.5)
Corticosteroids	8 (4)
Gabapentin	6 (3)
No medication provided	20 (10)
Psychological characteristics	
Psychological interference in social	$\textbf{2.7} \pm \textbf{1.3}$
activities (1-5)	
Feeling about the symptoms of low	$\textbf{1.2}\pm\textbf{0.5}$
back pain _(1–5)	
Stress and anxiety levels (0-10)	$\textbf{6.8} \pm \textbf{3.0}$
Levels of depression (0-10)	$\textbf{4.7} \pm \textbf{3.7}$
Risk of persistent low back pain $_{(0-10)}$	$\textbf{6.0} \pm \textbf{3.1}$
Red flags	
Night pain	94 (47)
History of osteoporosis	10 (5)
Psychoactive substance abuse	6 (3)
> 50 years old with a history of trauma	6 (3)
or > 70 years old	
Progressive motor or sensory deficit	6 (3)
Fever, chills, symptoms of infection	2 (1)
Chronic use of corticosteroids	5 (2.5)
Moderate or severe trauma	3 (1.5)
Anesthesia between the legs, bilateral sciatica, loss of urine	3 (1.5)
Intravenous drug use	3 (1.5)
History or suspicion of cancer	3 (1.5)
Therapy failure after 6 weeks of	2 (1)

treatment

Unexplained weight loss	1 (0.5)
Immunosuppression	0 (0)
Continuous variables are means \pm standard deviation and cate- gorical variables presented by frequency (percentage).	
* RMDQ - Roland Morris Disability Questio	nnaire.
** Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.	

exams, 79 patients (39.5%) expected to be referred to another professional, and 76 patients (38%) expected to receive some advice on how to deal with LBP. Only 2.5% of patients had been previously hospitalized due to LBP. The ability to perform daily tasks was moderate with a mean of 5.79.

Discussion

We aimed to describe the characteristics of patients with LBP and the reasons for seeking treatment in the ED. We observed that most patients were women, with a mean age of 55 years, reporting previous episodes of LBP, and current symptoms of sudden onset. The characteristics of the population in this study are similar to the characteristics of other studies conducted in EDs in Brazil²³ as well as in other countries^{21,25,43,44} with patients presenting moderate or severe pain and disability.^{21,23,25,43,44} We found that most patients with LBP sought care at the ED because they think that their condition was urgent and believed they were unable to control their pain. Also, our results show that patients choose the ED because it is always available, is free of charge, and provides high-quality care even though most treatments were solely based on medication, which is not consistent with the most recent literature.³⁶

Because patients with non-urgent musculoskeletal conditions seeking care in EDs are quite common, LBP in the ED is a relevant topic, with few relevant publications in the field. $^{23,37,45-48}$ The strengths of our study are that it brings important considerations into why patients with LBP come to the ED. In addition, many questions used in the survey were created because the data were not part of the usual ED data collection system. This knowledge is important to help implement strategies on how to refer patients to the most appropriate level of care in the future. Moreover, our study contributes to the understanding of this context in a middle-income country with high cultural, socioeconomic, and political diversity.²⁷

Unfortunately, it was not possible to record all LBP visits during the study period. Our study team collected data five days a week from the morning until early evening and we lost data from patients who went to the ED during the evening and on weekends. This study was conducted in a single department, over a limited time window (8 months), and in a metropolitan area. Despite Brazil being a country of continental proportions, São Paulo is the largest Brazilian city and includes people from all Brazilian states with a wide range of social, ethnic, and cultural differences. Nevertheless, perhaps the results could differ in smaller cities or rural areas. More studies are needed to investigate if the reasons for choosing EDs are similar across different countries and cities. Data about previous visit to other levels of care were not collected and should be included in a future study.

Table 3Reasons to visit the emergency department (n = 200).	
1. Why did you decide to come to the emergency department for your back pain today?	
I was referred by another healthcare provider.	0
I could not get an appointment elsewhere.	29 (14.5)
Where did you try to go?	
Private orthopedic clinics	3 (1.5)
Health insurance primary care	7 (3.5)
Public primary care	19 (9.5)
I was worried about the cause of the pain.	157 (78.5)
What do you think is causing your pain?	
Personal factors	43 (21.5)
Biomechanical factors	92 (46)
Psychosocial factors	14 (7)
Weather	9 (4.5)
Do not know	22 (11)
I could not control the pain.	147 (73.5)
What did you try to control your pain?	
Medication	125 (62.5)
Manual therapy	6 (4.5)
Exercise	9 (4.5)
Acupuncture	3 (1.5)
Rest	15 (7.5)
Thermotherapy	17 (8.5)
Nothing	8 (4)
The ambulance brought me here.	1 (0.5)
The emergency department is close to me.	8 (4)
Other	16 (8)
2. Which of the following made a difference in choosing	
to come to the Emergency Department today?	
The emergency department is always open and doesn't require an	143 (71.5)
appointment	. ,
The emergency department is free	95 (47.5)
The emergency department is close to me	23 (11.5)
I think the best care for back pain is here	129 (64.5)
I wanted a second opinion	10 (5)
Other	7 (3.5)
3. How urgent is your visit today? (0–10)	7.93 ± 1.97
4. Are you expecting any of the following today?	
Pain medication	159 (79.5)
Advice about what to do next for your back	76 (38.0)
A referral to see someone else	79 (39.5)
Blood tests	4 (2)
Radiographs, CT scan, or MRI of your back	100 (50)
Being admitted to the hospital	4 (2)
Sick note	24 (12)
Other	2 (1)
5. If all healthcare workers were open 24 h and were free, who	
would you prefer to help you with the back pain you have right now?	
Family doctor	9 (4.5)
Chiropractor	17 (8.5)
Physical therapist	56 (28)
Spine surgeon	33 (16.5)
Emergency room doctor	33 (16.5)
No preference	17 (8.5)
Other	35 (17.5)
6. Where is your back pain located?	
Mostly in back	126 (63)
Mostly in leg	13 (6.5)
About the same amount in my back and leg	61 (30.5)
7. How severe is your back pain $(0-10)$?	$\textbf{7.93} \pm \textbf{1.97}$

8. How long have you had the back pain that brought you here today?	15.0 (4.0 - 91.0)
(Duys)	
9. What do you think caused the back pain problem that brought you	
nere?	10 (20)
Personal factors	40 (20)
Biomechanical factors	124 (62)
Psychosocial factors	20 (10)
Weather	14 (7)
Do not know	32 (16)
10. Have you seen any other kind of healthcare professional	
for this same problem before coming here today?	
Spinal surgeon	1(6.6)
Physical therapist	2 (13.3)
Physiatrist	1 (6.6)
Neurologist	1 (6.6)
Orthopedic surgeon	8 (53)
Chiropractor	1 (6.6)
Rheumatologist	1 (6.6)
11. Have you been admitted to the hospital before for this same back pain	5 (2.5)
problem?	
12. How able are you to complete your usual daily activities on a scale of	5.79 ± 2.97
0 (I cannot do any daily activities) to 10 (I can do all my daily	
activities)?	
13. How long have you missed work because of the back pain that brought	17 ± 8.5
vou here today? (Davs)	

Continuous variables are mean \pm standard deviation or median (percentile 25th - percentile 75th) and categorical variables are frequency (percentage).

Another limitation is that our study used a 13-item tool to assess reasons for visiting the ED that was not published and/or validated.

Among the main outcomes, patients' perception of urgency and the convenience of easy access seem to be the main reasons for seeking care in the ED. A qualitative study identified very similar results pointing out the convenience, relief from pain, disability, and anxiety, combined with patient perception and interpretation of the symptoms as a strong influence on the decision to visit the ED.⁴⁸ A study that aimed to determine the reasons why patients choose to use the ED showed that most patients with non-urgent conditions visited the ED because they did not know anywhere else they could seek care.¹⁴ Our study also found that most patients were extremely worried about their LBP (78.5%) and, therefore, selected the ED as their first choice.

A study conducted in the USA recommended that patients with non-urgent conditions should only go to the ED in cases where their primary care providers were unavailable or out of business hours.⁴⁹ However, most patients with LBP included in our study (64.5%) went to the ED because they considered that this location was the best place to manage their symptoms. Patient health literacy should be developed to shift this behavior.^{50,51} Convenience seems to be the most common reason in studies that investigated overcrowded EDs.^{52–55} We observed that many patients consider the ED as a place where they can easily receive free medication, exams, and sick leave. The use of low-value care for patients with LBP is often costly and there is no evidence that it improves outcomes.^{36,56,57} There is evidence that patient expectations, physicians' concern about missing a serious

pathology, and time limitations to perform the clinical examination contribute to the overuse of lumbar imaging.⁵⁸

Despite scientific and political efforts to reduce the number of visits to the ED, these numbers continue to increase.⁵⁹ Adding barriers of access to the ED is also unlikely to be effective.⁵⁹ Initiatives such as improving the supply and care offered in primary care are a good alternative for reducing ED overutilization. In addition, public health literacy campaigns can make a significant contribution, providing education on pain and self-management of LBP.^{50,59} A study observed a decrease of 30% in ED utilization by offering health literacy to parents who often take their children to the ED.⁶⁰ However, future studies are needed to identify the best health pathway to manage the symptoms of these patients and align patient and clinician views with LBP guidelines.

In addition, a qualitative study showed that there are some important points to be addressed at the clinical (eg, patient referral, avoiding decisions that exacerbate patient symptoms), patients (eg, comorbidities and chronic diseases, emotions, and expectations), and service (eg, understand the processes and capabilities of ED, availability of physical therapy, and image restriction) levels.⁶¹

Understanding this behavior is important to implement measures that can facilitate and guide patients to a more appropriate level of care. Thus, in addition to making the health system more efficient, reducing overcrowding in the ED, and avoiding costs with low-value care, patients can be better oriented in the management of LBP and possible recurrences. Finally, while patients are properly targeted, patients who really need urgent treatment will have priority and greater attention in the ED.

Conclusion

Most patients with LBP seek care in EDs because they were worried about their pain and because the department is always open and does not require an appointment. We also found that the patients believe that the ED is the best place to seek care for their LBP. Our findings show important considerations that may contribute to the understanding of strategies to reduce overcrowding in the ED and guide patients to the best level of health care. We also contributed to the understanding of this context in a middle-income country with great socioeconomic, cultural, and political diversity.

Conflicts of interest

None.

Acknowledgements

This study is funded by the São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP - Grant 2018/09544-7).

References

- 1. Murphy A. Inappropriate' attenders at accident and emergency departments I: definition, incidence and reasons for attendance. *Fam Pract*. 1998;15:23–32.
- Lowthian JA, et al. Demand at the emergency department front door: 10-year trends in presentations. *Med J Aust.* 2012;196:128–132.
- Department of Health. Accident and emergency attendances and emergency admissions (data for 1987–88 to 2019–20). https://www.england.nhs.uk/statistics/statistical-work-areas/ ae-waiting-times-and-activity/ae-attendances-and-emer gency-admissions-2019-20/(2019).
- Drummond AJ. No room at the inn: overcrowding in Ontario's emergency departments. *March* • *Mars*. 2019;4.
- Pitts SR, Niska RW, Xu J, Burt CW. National hospital ambulatory medical care Survey: 2006 emergency department summary. *Natl Health Stat Report*. 2008:1–38.
- 6. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. *Emergency Department Care 2019-20 Australian Hospital Statistics*. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare Canberra; 2020. https://www. aihw.gov.au/reports-data/myhospitals/sectors/emergencydepartment-care.
- Center for Health Statistics. N. national hospital ambulatory medical care survey. Emergency Department Summary Tables2015. http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/ahcd/ahcd_survey_instruments.htm#nhamcs.
- Miró O, et al. Decreased health care quality associated with emergency department overcrowding. *Eur J Emerg Med.* 1999;6:105–107.
- **9.** Lucas R, et al. Emergency department patient flow: the influence of hospital census variables on emergency department length of stay. *Acad Emerg Med.* 2009;16:597–602.
- 10. Wise M. Inappropriate attendance in accident and emergency. Accid Emerg Nurs. 1997;5:102–106.
- Dale J, Green J, Reid F, Glucksman E. Primary care in the accident and emergency department: I. Prospective identification of patients. *BMJ*. 1995;311:423–426.

- 12. Becker, J, Dell, A, Jenkins, L & Sayed, R. Reasons why patients with primary health care problems access a secondary hospital emergency centre. 102, (2012).
- Kellermann AL. Nonurgent emergency department visits. JAMA. 1994;271:1953.
- Northington WE, Brice JH, Zou B. Use of an emergency department by nonurgent patients. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2005;23:131–137.
- Carret MLV, Fassa ACG, Domingues MR. Inappropriate use of emergency services: a systematic review of prevalence and associated factors. *Cad Saude Publica*. 2009;25:7–28.
- Durand A-C, et al. ED patients: how nonurgent are they? Systematic review of the emergency medicine literature. Am J Emerg Med. 2011;29:333–345.
- Guttman N, Zimmerman DR, Nelson MS. The many faces of access: reasons for medically nonurgent emergency department visits. J Health Polit Policy Law. 2003;28:1089–1120.
- Canadian Institute for Health Information. A Snapshot of Health Care in Canada as Demonstrated by Top 10 Lists. https://secure.cihi.ca/free_products/Top10ReportEN-Web. pdf (2011).
- Edwards, J, Hayden, J, Asbridge, M & Magee, K. The Prevalence of Low Back Pain in the Emergency department: a Descriptive Study Set in the Charles V. Keating Emergency and Trauma Centre, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada. doi:10.1186/s12891-018-2237-x.
- 20. Kocher KE, et al. National trends in use of computed tomography in the emergency department. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2011;58:452–462. e3.
- Friedman BW, Chilstrom M, Bijur PE, Gallagher EJ. Diagnostic testing and treatment of low back pain in United States emergency departments: a national perspective. *Spine (Phila Pa* 1976). 2010;35.
- 22. Buchbinder R, et al. Low back pain: a call for action. *Lancet*. 2018;391:2384–2388.
- 23. Oliveira IS, et al. Profile of patients with acute low back pain who sought emergency departments: a cross-sectional study. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2020;45:E296–E303.
- 24. Soares Oliveira I, et al. The long-term prognosis in people with recent onset low back pain from emergency departments: an inception cohort study. *J Pain*. 2021;22:1497–1505.
- 25. Oliveira CB, et al. Do patients with acute low back pain in emergency departments have more severe symptoms than those in general practice? A systematic review with meta-analysis. *Pain Med*. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1093/PM/PNAB260.
- 26. Emergency department care. Australian Hospital statistics, Summary. 18. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare; 2017. https://www.aihw.gov.au/reports/hospitals/emergencydepartment-care-2017-18/summary.
- 27. Hartvigsen J, et al. What low back pain is and why we need to pay attention. *The Lancet*. 2018;vol. 391:2356–2367.
- Menezes Costa LdC, et al. The prognosis of acute and persistent low-back pain: a meta-analysis. *Can Med Assoc J.* 2012;184: E613-E624.
- Henschke N, et al. Prognosis in patients with recent onset low back pain in Australian primary care: inception cohort study. *BMJ*. 2008;337:154–157.
- Artus M, Van Der Windt D, Jordan KP, Croft PR. The clinical course of low back pain: a meta-analysis comparing outcomes in randomised clinical trials (RCTs) and observational studies. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2014;15:1–16.
- Skinner HG, Blanchard J, Elixhauser A. Trends in emergency department visits, 2006-2011. Hospital Emergency Departments: Background, Issues, and Trends20152015:89–99.
- 32. Marco CA, Kanitz W, Jolly M. Pain scores among emergency department (ED) patients: comparison by ED diagnosis. *J Emerg Med*. 2013;44:46–52.

- Ferreira GE, et al. Management of low back pain in Australian emergency departments. *BMJ Qual*. 2019. https://doi.org/ 10.1136/bmjqs-2019-009383. *Saf*. bmjqs-2019-009383.
- Oliveira CB, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for the management of non-specific low back pain in primary care: an updated overview. *Eur Spine J.* 2018;vol. 27:2791–2803.
- Machado GC, Rogan E, Maher CG. Managing non-serious low back pain in the emergency department: time for a change? *EMA - Emerg Med Australas*. 2018;30:279–282.
- **36.** Foster NE, et al. Prevention and treatment of low back pain: evidence, challenges, and promising directions. *Lancet*. 2018;391:2368–2383.
- 37. Coombs DM, et al. Effectiveness of a multifaceted intervention to improve emergency department care of low back pain: a stepped-wedge, cluster-randomised trial. *BMJ Qual Saf.* 2021. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjqs-2020-012337.
- Oliveira Je, Silva L, et al. Emergency medicine in Brazil: historical perspective, current status, and future challenges. Int J Emerg Med. 2021;14.
- Sharp MK, et al. Using the STROBE statement: survey findings emphasized the role of journals in enforcing reporting guidelines. J Clin Epidemiol. 2019;116:26–35.
- 40. De Vet HCW, et al. Episodes of low back pain: a proposal for uniform definitions to be used in research. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2002;27:2409–2416.
- Steenstra IA, Verbeek JH, Heymans MW, Bongers PM. Prognostic factors for duration of sick leave in patients sick listed with acute low back pain: a systematic review of the literature. Occup Environ Med. 2005. https://doi.org/10.1136/oem.2004.015842.
- 42. Kawchuk Greg, Aaskov Jacob, Mohler Matthew, et al. A Prospective Study of Patients With Low Back Pain Attending a Canadian emergency department: Why they Came and What happened?.
 131. The XVIth International Forum on Back and Neck Pain Research in Primary Care; 2019.
- Nunn ML, Hayden JA, Magee K. Current management practices for patients presenting with low back pain to a large emergency department in Canada. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2017;18:1–8.
- 44. Thiruganasambandamoorthy V, et al. Risk factors for serious underlying pathology in adult emergency department nontraumatic low back pain patients. J Emerg Med. 2014;47:1–11.
- Coombs DM, et al. Clinical course of patients with low back pain following an emergency department presentation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Emerg Med J.* 2020. https://doi.org/ 10.1136/emermed-2019-209294. emermed-2019-209294.
- **46.** Ferreira GE, et al. Low back pain presentations to New South Wales emergency departments: trends over time and geographical variation. *EMA Emerg Med Australas*. 2021;33:868–874.
- **47.** Coombs DM, et al. Healthcare costs due to low back pain in the emergency department and inpatient setting in Sydney. *Australia* Lancet Reg Heal West Pacific. 2021;7.

- 48. Saggers A, Wand BM, Bulsara C, Truter P. 'I'm not in GP pain, I'm in hospital pain': qualitative study regarding patient decisionmaking factors in seeking care in the emergency department with non-specific low back pain. *Emerg Med Australas*. 2021;33:1013–1020.
- 49. Grumbach K, Keane D, Bindman A. Primary care and public emergency department overcrowding. Am J Public Health. 1993;83:372–378.
- Mackey LM, et al. The impact of health literacy on health outcomes in individuals with chronic pain: a cross-sectional study. *Physiother (United Kingdom)*. 2019. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.physio.2018.11.006.
- Mackey LM, et al. An investigation of healthcare utilization and its association with levels of health literacy in individuals with chronic pain. *Musculoskeletal Care*. 2019. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/msc.1386.
- Shesser R, Kirsch T, Smith J, Hirsch R. An analysis of emergency department use by patients with minor illness. *Ann Emerg Med*. 1991;20:743–748.
- **53.** Coster JE, Turner JK, Bradbury D, Cantrell A. Why do people choose emergency and urgent care services? A rapid review utilizing a systematic literature search and narrative synthesis. *Acad Emerg Med*. 2017;24:1137–1149.
- Sarver JH, Cydulka RK, Baker DW. Usual source of care and nonurgent emergency department use. Acad Emerg Med. 2002;9:916–923.
- 55. Emergency department utilization in california survey of consumer data and physician data. www.harrisinteractive.com (2006).
- 56. Webster BS, Choi Y, Bauer AZ, Cifuentes M, Pransky G. The cascade of medical services and associated longitudinal costs due to nonadherent magnetic resonance imaging for low back pain. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2014;39:1433–1440.
- Webster BS, Bauer AZ, Choi Y, Cifuentes M, Pransky GS. latrogenic consequences of early magnetic resonance imaging in acute, work-related, disabling low back pain. *Spine (Phila Pa* 1976). 2013;38:1939–1946.
- 58. Traeger AC, et al. Clinician, patient and general public beliefs about diagnostic imaging for low back pain: protocol for a qualitative evidence synthesis. *BMJ Open*. 2018;8: e019470.
- Morgan SR, Chang AM, Alqatari M, Pines JM. Non-emergency department interventions to reduce ED utilization: a systematic review. Acad Emerg Med. 2013;20:969–985.
- **60.** Herman A, Young KD, Espitia D, Fu N, Farshidi A. Impact of a health literacy intervention on pediatric emergency department use. *Pediatr Emerg Care*. 2009;25:434–438.
- 61. Davidson SRE, et al. Perspectives of emergency department clinicians on the challenges of addressing low back pain in the emergency setting: a qualitative study. *EMA Emerg Med Australas*. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1111/1742-6723.13854.