Why do patients with low back pain seek care at emergency department? A cross-sectional study
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Background: It is unclear why patients with low back pain seek care in emergency departments.

Objectives: We aim to describe the demographic, physical, and psychological characteristics, and reasons for seeking care at emergency departments due to an episode of low back pain.

Methods: This is a cross-sectional study conducted in an emergency department of a public hospital in São Paulo, Brazil, from September 2018 to May 2019. All patients who presented with a new episode of low back pain as the main complaint were invited to participate. We collected data on sociodemographic characteristics, general health characteristics, psychosocial risk factors, and reasons for visiting the emergency department.

Results: A total of 200 patients participated. We observed that most patients (68%) were women, with a mean age of 55 years, and who had previous episodes of low back pain (86%). Most patients went to the emergency department because they were worried about their pain (78%) and because they could not control their pain (73%). Patients also choose the emergency department because it is always available, it is free, and provided them good care.

Conclusions: Most patients with low back pain seek care at emergency departments because they were worried about their pain and because the department is always open and does not require appointment. Understanding these reasons is an important step for the implementation of future public policies to make health care more efficient, to reduce unnecessary expenses and to avoid low-value care.
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The demand for EDs continues to increase by 2–6% per year.2 6 This increase in demand leads to longer waiting times, overcrowding, higher costs, and lower quality of care.7 9 Unfortunately, about 30% of patients visiting EDs have non-urgent complaints and could be managed in primary care.7 10 17 Data from Canada18 19 and the USA20 21 show that low back pain (LBP) is one of the main non-urgent conditions contributing to the overcrowding in EDs. Among the limited information in low-middle income countries,22 the profile of patients with LBP seeking treatment at EDs in Brazil suggest that these patients have high levels of pain intensity and disability.23 Additionally, a cohort study conducted in Brazilian EDs concluded that higher levels of pain and disability are associated with poor outcomes in patients with acute LBP.24 25 A review estimated a LBP prevalence rate of 4.4% in EDs worldwide, similar to other urgent complaints, such as “shortness of breath (4%)” and “fever and chills (4.4%).”26 Therefore, LBP is one of the main causes of visits to the ED.26

In recent decades, LBP has become a major public health problem.2 8 But, despite LBP being a large health burden, it has a favorable prognosis.29 30 LBP is one of the top reporting reasons for seeking care at EDs in the USA, with nearly four million admissions per year.31 Usually, when patients with LBP come to the ED they report relatively high pain intensity32 and only 5% present serious spinal pathologies and truly need urgent medical care.32 A number of evidence-based guidelines and models of care have been developed to improve LBP care worldwide.33 34 35 Despite most guidelines prioritizing non-medical approaches for the management of patients with LBP, it is likely that these patients will receive some kind of medical interventions such as imaging, opioid prescription, or hospital admission; interventions that are not consistent with clinical guidelines recommendations.36

The Brazilian health system proposes that the patient’s first contact should take place in primary care. However, it is not uncommon for the ED to be the gateway to the public health system in Brazil.37 Specifically for low back pain, it is important to know the demographic, physical, and psychological characteristics of, and the reasons for patients seeking care in the ED. These data provide important information for the implementation of strategies to improve care so as to decrease the burden on EDs and also for comparisons with future studies in different countries.

Methods

Study design

This is a cross-sectional study conducted in an ED of a public hospital in São Paulo, Brazil, from September 2018 to May 2019. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Hospital do Servidor Público Estadual and Universidade Cidade de São Paulo (#7,585,517,030,015,463). This manuscript was reported following the STROBE guidelines.39

Eligibility criteria

All patients who presented with a new episode of LBP as the main complaint for seeking care at the ED on business hours during regular weekdays were screened for eligibility. We used the following acute LBP definition40; “pain in the lower back, with or without referred pain to the lower limbs, lasting between 24 h and 6 weeks and preceded by a period of at least 1 month without pain”.41 Patients were considered eligible if they were between 18 and 80 years of age and seeking care for LBP. Patients who were pregnant or did not understand Portuguese were excluded.

Data collection

From September 2018 to May 2019, the Hospital do Servidor Público Estadual treated approximately 1.3 million patients within the state of São Paulo, Brazil. The hospital has 721 beds, 949 doctors, and 2020 health professionals. In this period, a total of 218,291 patients sought care at the ED. From those, a total of 5232 patients (3.5%) had a LBP episode. We recruited a convenience sample of 200 patients with LBP. This study was conducted using the baseline data from a prospective cohort investigating the implementation of a hybrid model for the care of patients with LBP. A member of the research team stayed in the ED from Monday through Friday during business hours. Patients were seen by the physician who conducted the medical consultation following the hospital standard of care and screened for red flags through history taking and physical examination. Shortly, after medical consultation, 206 potentially eligible patients were referred by the physician to the member of the research team who was on location for data collection. A total of six patients did not consent to participate. The physician determined whether or not these patients would be included, according to the eligibility criteria.

Outcome measures

If the participant agreed to participate in the study by signing the consent form, the researcher collected the data. Patients were interviewed through a structured, in-person verbal questionnaire. Questions were asked and answered under the supervision of the research team member.

Characteristics related to general health, psychological factors, and interferences of pain on work activities were assessed by using items one, six, eight, and 10 of the SF-36.42 We changed the term “bodily pain” to “low back pain” for the purpose of this study. Moreover, questions were adapted as follows: How many days have your LBP forced you to cut down on the activities you usually do? and How many days have you been unable to work? Information on medications prescribed by the physician in the ED was also collected. We also confirmed presence of absence of nerve root compromise through physical examination. Finally, we collected presence of red and yellow flags. Pain intensity, disability, and outcomes of interest are provided in Table 1.

Reasons for visiting the emergency department

To evaluate the reasons for visiting the ED we used a survey,42 which was composed of 13 independent questions that attempted to explore and understand the motives and reasons why patients with LBP visit the ED (Fig. 1). The first question is related to why patients chose to visit the ED due to LBP. Patients could choose one or more of 7...
pre-defined answer options. Additionally, for each option chosen, a qualitative complementary question was asked so that the answers could be analyzed and grouped later.

The other 12 questions focused on understanding why patients with LBP sought care in the ED. These questions also assessed about patients’ beliefs, preferences, and expectations about care received in the ED.

### Statistical analysis

Descriptive analyses were used to present the characteristics of the patients. Data are presented as mean and standard deviation for continuous variables and frequency and percentiles for categorical variables. All analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 22.

### Results

Data were collected from 200 patients who were seeking emergency medical care. Table 2 shows the demographic and clinical characteristics of the participants. All patients who consented to participate in the study answered all questions. We observed that the majority of patients (68%) were women, with a mean age of 55 years, with previous episodes of LBP (86%), and had a sudden onset of symptoms (74.5%). Mean scores of pain intensity (measured by a 0–10 Numerical Pain Rating Scale) and disability (measured by the 0–24 Roland Morris Disability Questionnaire) were 7.9 and 14.7, respectively. In addition, about a third of the included patients (n = 64, 32%) were considered physically active.

For most patients (n = 160, 80%) either NSAIDs and/or muscle relaxant drugs (n = 140, 70%) were prescribed during consultation. Additionally, 63.5% of the patients were already using some medication before consultation.

The apprehension about the symptoms of LBP (i.e. “If you had to spend the rest of your life with the symptoms you have now, how would you feel about it?”) and depression (i.e. “How much has it been bothering you that you’ve been feeling depressed for the past week?”) were considered low with a mean score of 1.2 and 4.7, respectively. Conversely, the levels of stress/anxiety (6.8), psychological interference in social activities (2.7), and risk of persistent LBP (mean 6.0) were considered moderate to high. We observed that the most prevalent red flag was night pain, which was present in almost half of the sample (47%). The second most

---

### Table 1  Outcomes of interest and variables related to each outcome.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Variables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Health</td>
<td>Physical activity levels, smoking, bodily pain, and general health perceptions. Question for this outcome: “In general, would you say your health is excellent, very good, good, fair, or poor?” from the SF-36.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current and past history of low back pain</td>
<td>Previous episodes of LBP, previous surgeries due to LBP, if LBP appeared suddenly, referred pain to the lower limbs, medication use without medical prescription and duration of symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain Intensity</td>
<td>Pain intensity was measured with the Numerical Pain Rating Scale (NPRS). The NPRS is an 11-point scale, ranging from 0 (no pain) to 10 (worst pain possible). Participants were asked to report the level of pain intensity perceived based on the last 7 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disability</td>
<td>We measured disability with the Roland Morris Disability Questionnaire (RMDQ). The RMDQ has 24-items (yes/no) related to common activities that patients might have difficulty to perform due to low back pain. The total score is determined by the sum of all positive answers. The higher the score, the higher the disability.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological characteristics</td>
<td>Specific items selected from the SF-36 and from Örebro Musculoskeletal Pain Screening Questionnaire (OMPSQ). Psychological interference on social activities and feeling about the symptoms of LBP: measured by a 5-point scale ranging between 1 and 5. Stress, anxiety, depression, and risk of LBP become persistent: measured by a 11-point scale ranging between 0 and 10 points.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red flags</td>
<td>Red flags are features of the clinical history, which may be related to a high risk of serious conditions such as malignancy, fractures, and cauda equina syndrome. We collected data related to the risk of fracture (eg. previous trauma, advanced age, osteoporosis), malignancy (eg, unexplained weight loss, history of cancer, and night pain), and cauda equina syndrome (eg. progressive motor deficit and anesthesia between the legs, sciatica, or loss of urine). The physician made a clinical diagnosis in the medical consultation. In addition, if the patient reported any sign or symptom of neurological impairment or serious pathology, the researcher collected information on red flags.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Back Pain and the Emergency Department

1. Why did you choose to come to the Emergency Department for your back pain today? Check all that apply and answer the followup question.

☐ I was referred by another healthcare provider. What kind of health care person sent you here?

☐ I could not get an appointment elsewhere. Where did you try to go?

☐ I was worried about the cause of the pain. What do you think is causing your pain?

☐ I could not control the pain. What did you try to control your pain?

☐ The ambulance brought me here. Do you have your own transportation? Y / N

☐ The Emergency Department is close to me. How far away do you live? ________ km

☐ Other

2. Which of the following made a difference in choosing to come to the Emergency Department today? Please check all that apply.

☐ The Emergency Department is always open and doesn’t require an appointment

☐ The Emergency Department is free

☐ The Emergency Department is close to me

☐ I think the best care for back pain here

☐ I wanted a second opinion

☐ Other

3. How urgent is your visit today on a scale of 0 (not urgent) to 10 (this is an emergency)? ______

4. Are you expecting any of the following today? Please check all that apply.

☐ Pain medication

☐ Advice about what to do next for your back

☐ A referral to see someone else

☐ Blood tests

☐ Xrays, CT scan, or MRI of your back

☐ Being admitted to the hospital

☐ Other

Fig. 1 Back pain and the emergency department survey.

5. If all healthcare workers were open 24 hours and were free, who would you prefer to help you with the back pain you have right now? Check only one.

☐ Family doctor

☐ Chiropractor

☐ Physical Therapist

☐ Spine Surgeon

☐ Emergency Room doctor

☐ No preference

☐ Other

6. Where is your back pain located?

☐ Mostly in my back

☐ Mostly in my leg

☐ About the same amount in my back and leg

7. How severe is your back pain on a scale of 0 (no pain) to 10 (worst pain ever)? ______

8. How long have you had the back pain that brought you here today?

______ Years _______ Months _______ Days

9. What do you think caused the back problem that brought you here?

10. Have you seen any other kind of healthcare professional for this same problem before coming here today (e.g. family doctor, chiropractor, physical therapist)? If so, please indicate below.

11. Have you been admitted to the hospital before for this same back pain problem?

Yes (when ____________) / No

12. How able are you to complete your usual daily activities on a scale of 0 (I cannot do any daily activities) to 10 (I can do all my daily activities)?

13. How long have you missed work because of the back pain that brought you here today?

______ Years _______ Months _______ Days ______ N/A

Table 3 presents the reasons why patients with LBP sought the ED instead of seeking other levels of health care. This table also presents data on patients’ expectations and behavior towards LBP and emergency care. Most patients went to the ED because they were worried about their pain (n = 157, 78.5%) and because they could not control their pain (n = 147, 73.5%). The majority of patients (n = 143, 71.5%) chose to go to the ED because it is always open and does not need an appointment. Patients also chose the ED to treat their symptoms because it is free of charge (n = 95, 47.5%) and/or because they believe they can find the best treatment for their LBP at the ED (n = 129, 64.5%). Patients included in this study had a high level of perceived urgency (7.93 ± 1.97). Regarding patients’ expectations, 159 (79.5%) patients expected to receive prescription of some pain medication. One hundred patients (50%) expected to undergo imaging.
We found that most patients were women, with a mean age of 55 years, reporting previous episodes of LBP, and current symptoms of sudden onset. The characteristics of the population in this study are similar to the characteristics of other studies conducted in EDs in Brazil\textsuperscript{21} as well as in other countries\textsuperscript{21,25,43,44} with patients presenting moderate or severe pain and disability.\textsuperscript{45,46} We found that most patients with LBP sought care at the ED because they think that their condition was urgent and believed they were unable to control their pain. Also, our results show that patients choose the ED because it is always available, is free of charge, and provides high-quality care even though most treatments were solely based on medication, which is not consistent with the most recent literature.\textsuperscript{36}

Because patients with non-urgent musculoskeletal conditions seeking care in EDs are quite common, LBP in the ED is a relevant topic, with few relevant publications in the field.\textsuperscript{23,37,45–48} The strengths of our study are that it brings important considerations into why patients with LBP come to the ED. In addition, many questions used in the survey were created because the data were not part of the usual ED data collection system. This knowledge is important to help implement strategies on how to refer patients to the most appropriate level of care in the future. Moreover, our study contributes to the understanding of this context in a middle-income country with high cultural, socioeconomic, and political diversity.\textsuperscript{27}

Unfortunately, it was not possible to record all LBP visits during the study period. Our study team collected data five days a week from the morning until early evening and we lost data from patients who went to the ED during the evening and on weekends. This study was conducted in a single department, over a limited time window (8 months), and in a metropolitan area. Despite Brazil being a country of continental proportions, São Paulo is the largest Brazilian city and includes people from all Brazilian states with a wide range of social, ethnic, and cultural differences. Nevertheless, perhaps the results could differ in smaller cities or rural areas. More studies are needed to investigate if the reasons for choosing EDs are similar across different countries and cities. Data about previous visit to other levels of care were not collected and should be included in a future study.

Table 2  Characteristics of the study participants (n = 200).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Frequency (Percentage)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
<td>55.4 ± 12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>136 (68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weight (kg)</strong></td>
<td>78.3 ± 18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Height (cm)</strong></td>
<td>165.5 ± 9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education level</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary/Middle school</td>
<td>34 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>66 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate</td>
<td>85 (42.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-graduate</td>
<td>15 (7.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Duration of symptoms (weeks)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 2 weeks</td>
<td>114 (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 2 and 3 weeks</td>
<td>46 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 3 and 4 weeks</td>
<td>13 (6.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 4 and 5 weeks</td>
<td>5 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between 5 and 6 weeks</td>
<td>22 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Previous episodes of back pain</strong></td>
<td>172 (86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sudden onset</strong></td>
<td>149 (74.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smoker</strong></td>
<td>18 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Previous compensation</strong></td>
<td>12 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Previous sick leave</strong></td>
<td>45 (22.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Previous surgery</strong></td>
<td>5 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exercising regularly</strong></td>
<td>64 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Previous medication intake</strong></td>
<td>127 (63.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pain intensity (0–10)</strong></td>
<td>7.9 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disability (0–24)</strong></td>
<td>14.7 ± 5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prescribed Medications</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NSAIDs</strong></td>
<td>160 (80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Paracetamol</strong></td>
<td>17 (8.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Opioids</strong></td>
<td>49 (24.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Muscle Relaxants</strong></td>
<td>140 (70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Analgesics</strong></td>
<td>15 (7.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Corticosteroids</strong></td>
<td>8 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gabapentin</strong></td>
<td>6 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>No medication provided</strong></td>
<td>20 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Psychological characteristics</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological interference in social</td>
<td>2.7 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>activities (1–5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeling about the symptoms of low back</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pain (1–5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress and anxiety levels (0–10)</td>
<td>6.8 ± 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levels of depression (0–10)</td>
<td>4.7 ± 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk of persistent low back pain (0–10)</td>
<td>6.0 ± 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Red flags</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Night pain</td>
<td>94 (47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of osteoporosis</td>
<td>10 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychoactive substance abuse</td>
<td>6 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 50 years old with a history of trauma</td>
<td>6 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or &gt; 70 years old</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progressive motor or sensory deficit</td>
<td>6 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever, chills, symptoms of infection</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic use of corticosteroids</td>
<td>5 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate or severe trauma</td>
<td>3 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anesthesia between the legs, bilateral</td>
<td>3 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sciatica, loss of urine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intravenous drug use</td>
<td>3 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History or suspicion of cancer</td>
<td>3 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapy failure after 6 weeks of treatment</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Continuous variables are means ± standard deviation and categorical variables presented by frequency (percentage).**

RMDQ – Roland Morris Disability Questionnaire.

\* Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.
Table 3  Reasons to visit the emergency department (n = 200).

1. Why did you decide to come to the emergency department for your back pain today?
   - I was referred by another healthcare provider. 0
   - I could not get an appointment elsewhere. 29 (14.5)
   - Where did you try to go?
     - Private orthopedic clinics 3 (1.5)
     - Health insurance primary care 7 (3.5)
     - Public primary care 19 (9.5)
   - I was worried about the cause of the pain. 157 (78.5)
   - What do you think is causing your pain?
     - Personal factors 43 (21.5)
     - Biomechanical factors 92 (46)
     - Psychosocial factors 14 (7)
     - Weather 9 (4.5)
     - Do not know 22 (11)
   - I could not control the pain. 147 (73.5)
   - What did you try to control your pain?
     - Medication 125 (62.5)
     - Manual therapy 6 (4.5)
     - Exercise 9 (4.5)
     - Acupuncture 3 (1.5)
     - Rest 15 (7.5)
     - Thermotherapy 17 (8.5)
     - Nothing 8 (4)
   - The ambulance brought me here. 1 (0.5)
   - The emergency department is close to me. 8 (4)
   - Other 16 (8)

2. Which of the following made a difference in choosing to come to the Emergency Department today?
   - The emergency department is always open and doesn’t require an appointment 143 (71.5)
   - The emergency department is free 95 (47.5)
   - The emergency department is close to me 23 (11.5)
   - I think the best care for back pain is here 129 (64.5)
   - I wanted a second opinion 10 (5)
   - Other 7 (3.5)

3. How urgent is your visit today? (0–10) 7.93 ± 1.97

4. Are you expecting any of the following today?
   - Pain medication 159 (79.5)
   - Advice about what to do next for your back 76 (38.0)
   - A referral to see someone else 79 (39.5)
   - Blood tests 4 (2)
   - Radiographs, CT scan, or MRI of your back 100 (50)
   - Being admitted to the hospital 4 (2)
   - Sick note 24 (12)
   - Other 2 (1)

5. If all healthcare workers were open 24 h and were free, who would you prefer to help you with the back pain you have right now?
   - Family doctor 9 (4.5)
   - Chiropractor 17 (8.5)
   - Physical therapist 56 (28)
   - Spine surgeon 33 (16.5)
   - Emergency room doctor 33 (16.5)
   - No preference 17 (8.5)
   - Other 35 (17.5)

6. Where is your back pain located?
   - Mostly in back 126 (63)
   - Mostly in leg 13 (6.5)
   - About the same amount in my back and leg 61 (30.5)

7. How severe is your back pain (0–10)? 7.93 ± 1.97
A study that aimed to determine the reasons why patients select the ED as their first choice. Among the main outcomes, patients’ perception of urgency and the convenience of easy access seem to be the main reasons for seeking care in the ED. A qualitative study identified very similar results pointing out the convenience, relief from pain, disability, and anxiety, combined with patient perception and interpretation of the symptoms as a strong influence on the decision to visit the ED. A study that aimed to determine the reasons why patients choose to use the ED showed that most patients with non-urgent conditions visited the ED because they did not know anywhere else they could seek care. Our study also found that most patients were extremely worried about their LBP (78.5%) and, therefore, selected the ED as their first choice.

A study conducted in the USA recommended that patients with non-urgent conditions should only go to the ED in cases where their primary care providers were unavailable or out of business hours. However, most patients with LBP included in our study (64.5%) went to the ED because they considered that this location was the best place to manage their symptoms. Patient health literacy should be developed to shift this behavior.

Continuous variables are mean ± standard deviation or median (percentile 25th - percentile 75th) and categorical variables are frequency (percentage).

8. How long have you had the back pain that brought you here today? (Days) 15.0 (4.0 - 91.0)
9. What do you think caused the back pain problem that brought you here?
   Personal factors 40 (20)
   Biomechanical factors 124 (62)
   Psychosocial factors 20 (10)
   Weather 14 (7)
   Do not know 32 (16)
10. Have you seen any other kind of healthcare professional for this same problem before coming here today?
   Spinal surgeon 1 (6.6)
   Physical therapist 2 (13.3)
   Physiatrist 1 (6.6)
   Neurologist 1 (6.6)
   Orthopedic surgeon 8 (53)
   Chiropractor 1 (6.6)
   Rheumatologist 1 (6.6)
11. Have you been admitted to the hospital before for this same back pain problem? 5 (2.5)
12. How able are you to complete your usual daily activities on a scale of 0 (I cannot do any daily activities) to 10 (I can do all my daily activities)? 5.79 ± 2.97
13. How long have you missed work because of the back pain that brought you here today? (Days) 17 ± 8.5

Another limitation is that our study used a 13-item tool to assess reasons for visiting the ED that was not published and/or validated.

Despite scientific and political efforts to reduce the number of visits to the ED, these numbers continue to increase. Initiatives such as improving the supply and care offered in primary care are a good alternative for reducing ED overutilization. In addition, public health literacy campaigns can make a significant contribution, providing education on pain and self-management of LBP. A study observed a decrease of 30% in ED utilization by offering health literacy to parents who often take their children to the ED. However, future studies are needed to identify the best health pathway to manage the symptoms of these patients and align patient and clinician views with LBP guidelines.

In addition, a qualitative study showed that there are some important points to be addressed at the clinical (eg, patient referral, avoiding decisions that exacerbate patient symptoms), patients (eg, comorbidities and chronic diseases, emotions, and expectations), and service (eg, understand the processes and capabilities of ED, availability of physical therapy, and image restriction) levels. Understanding this behavior is important to implement measures that can facilitate and guide patients to a more appropriate level of care. Thus, in addition to making the health system more efficient, reducing overcrowding in the ED, and avoiding costs with low-value care, patients can be better oriented in the management of LBP and possible recurrences. Finally, while patients are properly targeted, patients who really need urgent treatment will have priority and greater attention in the ED.
Conclusion

Most patients with LBP seek care in EDs because they were worried about their pain and because the department is always open and does not require an appointment. We also found that the patients believe that the ED is the best place to seek care for their LBP. Our findings show important considerations that may contribute to the understanding of strategies to reduce overcrowding in the ED and guide patients to the best level of health care. We also contributed to the understanding of this context in a middle-income country with great socioeconomic, cultural, and political diversity.

Conflicts of interest

None.

Acknowledgements

This study is funded by the São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP - Grant 2018/09544–7).

References


