

10

RESPIRATORY TRAINING AND RESISTANCE COMBINED WITH BLOOD FLOW RESTRICTION IN IMPROVING RESPIRATORY VARIABLES IN PEOPLE WITH COPD

Maria Heloisa De Queiroz Silva^a, Vinicius Da Silva Saraiva^a,
Camila Fernandes Pontes Dos Santos^b,
Wanessa Kelly Vieira de Vasconcelos^b,
Heleodório Honorato dos Santos^{b,c,d}

^a Graduação em Fisioterapia, Universidade Federal da Paraíba (UFPB), João Pessoa, PB, Brasil

^b Programa Associado de Pós-Graduação em Educação Física, Universidade Federal de Pernambuco (UFPE), Recife, PE, Brazil

^c Universidade Federal da Paraíba (UFPB), João Pessoa, PB, Brazil

^d Programa de Pós-Graduação em Fisioterapia, Universidade Federal da Paraíba (UFPB), João Pessoa, PB, Brazil

Background: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) is an inflammatory and progressive condition that causes ventilation limitation, reducing the quality of life of individuals due to decreased exercise tolerance. Due to the characteristics of the disease, resistance exercise for this population is limited to low (LB) or moderate (MB) loads. In this context, resistance training (RT) + blood flow restriction (BFR) can provide gains similar to those of training with high loads and has been incorporated into inspiratory muscle training (IMT) as an intervention strategy for COPD management.

Objectives: Evaluate the acute effects of IMT and RT with or without BFR on: maximum inspiratory pressure (MIP), respiratory rate (RR), peripheral oxygen saturation (SpO₂), and dyspnea in individuals with COPD.

Methods: This is an experimental clinical trial with a crossover design. The sample consisted of 14 elderly individuals (67.5 ± 1.76 years) of both sexes, diagnosed with mild, moderate, or severe COPD, who were initially evaluated for body composition, ankle-brachial index (ABI), determination of arterial occlusion pressure (AOP), evaluation of inspiratory muscle strength, and 1RM test. They were then subjected to 3 experimental protocols: 1) RT with low load (30% 1RM) + IMT (30% MIP); 2) RT with low load (30% 1RM) + BFR (50% of AOP) + IMT (30% MIP); and 3) RT with moderate load (50% 1RM) + IMT (50% MIP), with a wash-out period of 48-72 hours. Respiratory rate (RR), SpO₂, and dyspnea were assessed before, immediately after, and 10 minutes post-exercise, while MIP was measured after each protocol. Data were analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS – 26.0), with a significance level set at P = 0.05.

Results: There was a significant reduction in respiratory rate (RR) when comparing pre- and 10-minute post-intervention in all protocols, and in the intergroup comparison, the TRCB+RFS+IMT protocol showed a lower value than TRCB+IMT (P = 0.001). No differences were found in SpO₂ between the groups; however, an increase was observed in the intragroup comparison between pre- and 10-minute post-intervention in all protocols. No difference was found between pre- and post-MIP values in the protocols (P > 0.05), but in the intergroup analysis, an increase was observed in TRCB+RFS+IMT (P = 0.010) compared to TRCB+IMT. A significant reduction in dyspnea was observed in the TRCB+IMT group (P = 0.001) compared to TRCB+IMT and TRCB+RFS+IMT.

Conclusion: IMT associated with RT, with or without BFR, was able to reduce respiratory rate (RR) and dyspnea, as well as increase SpO₂ in individuals with COPD. However, the only protocol that showed a significant increase in MIP was TRCB+RFS+IMT, demonstrating greater effectiveness. Further studies are needed to explore the potential of these protocols in specific samples according to the severity of symptoms, for better homogenization of results.

Implications: This study demonstrates that IMT combined with RT, with or without BFR, effectively improves dyspnea and respiratory

variables, highlighting its potential application in physiotherapy for COPD treatment.

Keywords: COPD, BFR, Dyspnea

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding: CAPES - Finance Code 001.

Ethics committee approval: CAAE: 85901318.0.0000.5504.

Registration: Not applicable.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjpt.2025.101273>

11

RELIABILITY AND LEARNING EFFECT OF THE TWO-MINUTE STEP TEST

Camila Mascarello Panisson^a, Nicoli Silveira Vieira^b,
Luize Monte Blanc^a, Esther Cecília Wilches-Luna^c, Danielle Vieira^a,
Heloise Uliam Kuriki^a, Livia Arcêncio do Amaral^a

^a Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciências da Reabilitação, Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina (UFSC), Araranguá, SC, Brazil

^b Curso de Fisioterapia, Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina (UFSC), Araranguá, SC, Brazil

^c Curso de Fisioterapia, Facultad de Salud, Universidad del Valle, Cali, Vale do Cauca, Colombia

Background: The two-minute step test (2MST) is a submaximal test to assess functional capacity, but few studies analyze its reliability and learning effect.

Objectives: To verify the reliability and learning effect of the two-minute step test in healthy individuals.

Methods: Observational and methodological study, approved by local ethics committee, carried out from March to October 2024 using a non-probabilistic convenience sample. Individuals aged 18 to 80 years of both sexes were invited. The exclusion criteria were: untreated and/or unstable chronic diseases, previous diagnosis of lung and/or heart diseases, diseases and/or dysfunctions that interfered with walking, and Charlson Comorbidity Index > 4. Individuals who reached 85% of maximum heart rate (HR) and did not attend all assessments were also excluded. The test consists of marching in place for two minutes. The steps consisted of the number of times the right knee reached the predetermined height (midpoint between the iliac crest and the upper edge of the patella). Step counting was performed by two evaluators (randomized order). The test was performed twice on the same day (with 30-minute intervals) and repeated after a 7-day interval between the first and second assessment, resulting in a total of four tests. Vital signs (heart rate, blood pressure, and peripheral oxygen saturation) were monitored. Quantitative variables were described as mean (standard error), or 95% confidence interval (95% CI), and qualitative variables were expressed as absolute (relative) frequency. Intra-rater and inter-rater reliability was determined by the intraclass correlation method (ICC), considering the classification: < 0.50 (low reliability), 0.50 to 0.75 (moderate reliability), 0.76 to 0.90 (good reliability) and > 0.90 (excellent reliability). The learning effect was verified using the paired t-test (comparing the difference between the first and the last test). The significance level considered was 5% (p < 0.05).

Results: Thirty-one individuals aged 26 (24-34) years were included, of whom 16 (51.6%) were male. The ICC showed good inter-rater reliability on the first day [ICC = 0.838 (95% CI: 0.668-0.922); p = 0.000] and excellent inter-rater reliability on the second day [ICC = 0.911; (95%CI: 0.811-0.958); p = 0.000]. The intra-rater analyses for Evaluator 1 [ICC = 0.801 (95% CI: 0.587-0.904); p = 0.000] and Evaluator 2 [ICC = 0.758 (95% CI: 0.506-0.883); p = 0.000] demonstrated good reliability. The number of steps of the last 2MST [107.6(2.3)] was significantly greater (p = 0.009) than the