

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding: CNPq, Grant numbers #301967/2022-9 e #401633/2023-3; FAPERJ, Grant numbers #E-26/200.929/2022; CAPES, Finance Code 001.

Ethics committee approval: No. 6.596.570.

Registration: Not applicable.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjpt.2025.101270>

8

FIRST STEPS IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF A CORE SET OF THE ICF FOR CHAGAS DISEASE PATIENTS

Natielle Cecília dos Santos Ottone, Sara Gabrielle Souza, Henrique Silveira Costa, Pedro Henrique Scheidt Figueiredo, Vanessa Pereira de Lima, Marcus Alessandro de Alcantara *Universidade Federal dos Vales do Jequitinhonha e Mucuri (UFVJM), Diamantina, MG, Brazil*

Background: Chagas Disease (CD) in the Jequitinhonha Valley represents a significant public health concern, substantially impacting patients' lives, affecting their social participation and overall quality of life. However, categorizing these impacts presents considerable complexities and challenges.

Objectives: This study aims to develop the first stage of a Core Set of the International Classification of Functioning, Disability, and Health (ICF) for CD. This Core Set aims to better understand CD's functional implications and support decision-making in epidemiology and rehabilitation.

Methods: A literature review and a focus group study were conducted to identify functional aspects of disability caused by CD in adults (> 18 years) of both sexes. Published studies from the PubMed and SciELO databases were reviewed, and after excluding duplicates and studies that did not meet the inclusion criteria, 27 studies were selected for analysis. Concurrently, six focus groups were conducted with 16 adults (mean age: 67 ± 8 years) diagnosed with the cardiac form of CD, aiming to identify the most relevant functional consequences in this population. The concepts identified in the literature review and those emerging from the focus group discussions were subsequently mapped to the categories of the ICF checklist by two independent researchers.

Results: The literature review identified 310 relevant outcomes, while 180 outcomes emerged from the focus groups. These outcomes were mapped to 42 and 41 second-level categories of the ICF checklist, respectively. The most frequently identified categories in the literature review were: b130 (Energy and drive functions), b152 (Emotional functions), b410 (Heart functions), s410 (Cardiovascular system), e110 (Products and substances for personal consumption, such as food and medicine), and e580 (Health services, systems, and policies). In contrast, the most frequently mentioned categories by the patients in the focus groups were: b134 (Sleep functions), b152 (Emotional functions), b280 (Pain), d930 (Religion and spirituality), and e310 (Immediate family). The results reveal a disparity between the clinical perspectives and the experiences reported by patients with CD. While the literature review highlighted biological and cardiovascular outcomes, the focus group patients emphasized the disease's emotional, social, and spiritual dimensions, such as sleep, pain, family, and spirituality.

Conclusion: This suggests that, in addition to biological aspects, patients experience CD holistically, significantly impacting their quality of life.

Implications: These findings highlight the importance of incorporating patient perspectives in developing a Core Set of the ICF for CD.

Keywords: ICF, Validation studies, Chagas disease

Conflicts of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding: Foundation for Research Support of the State of Minas Gerais (FAPEMIG APQ-00277-24), National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq-402574/2021-4 and CNPq-151412/2024-3), and Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education – Brazil (CAPES PROEXT-PG 88881.926996/2023-01).

Ethics committee approval: FACISA/UFRN: 6.204.652.

Registration: Not applicable.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjpt.2025.101271>

9

AN UMBRELLA REVIEW OF SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS AND META-ANALYSIS OF RISPERIDONE ON CARDIOVASCULAR RISK: ANALYSIS OF 11,949 PATIENTS

Silvia Helena Soares Gianini, Igor Soares Gianini Grecca, Derfel Rodrigo Maciel Alba Folegatti, Camila Marcondes de Oliveira, Rodrigo Daminello Raimundo, Andrey Alves Porto, Vitor Engrácia Valenti *Universidade Estadual Paulista (UNESP), Marília, SP, Brazil*

Background: A new Systematic Review (SR) suggested that antipsychotic drugs are involved with cardiometabolic problems. To better understand this issue, we conducted an Overview of SRs to evaluate the effects of risperidone on cardiovascular risk.

Objectives: To verify if there is any cardiovascular risk in people who are treated with Risperidone.

Methods: The searches were completed by means of EMBASE, MEDLINE/PubMed (by National Library of Medicine), Scopus, and Web of Science databases. We included SRs of risperidone and cardiovascular risk published up until 2022. PRISMA was enforced for reporting quality, AMSTAR 2 was necessary for methodological quality and GRADE was executed for quality of evidence analysis.

Results: After excluding 1883 publications, we designated six SR, that did not detect substantial effects of risperidone on tachycardia events ((relative effect: 1.35 (0.87, 2.1)), systolic blood pressure ((absolute effect: 1.07 (1.12, 3.26)) and diastolic blood pressure (absolute effect: 1.35 (1.48, 4.18)), QTC prolongation events ((relative effect: 1.02 (0.94, 1.1)) and QTC prolongation ((absolute effect: 9.39 (4.09, 14.69))). No SR entirely adhered to the PRISMA statement, AMSTAR 2 and GRADE suggested low to very low quality.

Conclusion: The SRs demonstrated no significant impact of risperidone on cardiovascular risk. Yet, we advise improvement in the evidence, methodological and reporting quality for impending SRs.

Implications: The current evidence did not report substantial cardiovascular adverse effects about risperidone treatment, backing up its cardiovascular safety. Nevertheless, the low to very-low methodological quality and low to very-low quality of evidence highlight the requirement for stronger and more robust evidence based on further scientific research.

Keywords: Risperidone, Antipsychotic drugs, Cardiovascular risks

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding: Not applicable.

Ethics committee approval: CAAE: 4.106.335/2020 and 3.741.115/2019.

Registration: Not applicable.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjpt.2025.101272>